COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023

Paper No. 21

Palmer & Dodge, LLP Kathleen M. Williams 111 Huntington Avenue Boston, MA 02199

**COPY MAILED** 

MAR 1 2 2003

In re Application of Vikas P. Sukhatme Application No. 09/589,777 Filed: June 8, 2000 Attorney Docket No. 1440.1023-011 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed January 6, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed June 18, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three(3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on September 19, 2002.

The two-month period for filing an appeal brief in triplicate (accompanied by the fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c)), runs from the date of this decision.

37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. If the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) and petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Since the \$465.00 extension of time submitted with the petition on January 6, 2003 was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, the extension of time filed with the instant petition is unnecessary and the fee will be credited to petitioner's deposit account. Also, the processing and retention fee paid on January 6, 2003, with the instant petition will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Cheryl Gibson-Baylor at (703)308-5111, or in her absence, Sherry D. Brinkley at (703)305-9220.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 1600, Art Unit 1642.

Cheryl Gibson-Baylor Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

Sherry D. Brinkley

Petitions Examiner